

#### California: Proposed Distribution Group Study Tariffs

Sky C. Stanfield March 14, 2013

KEYES, FOX & WIEDMAN

Interstate Renewable Energy Council



#### California Group Study Development

- Summer of 2012 SCE and PG&E present proposals for DGS process and parties comment on them
  - The primary difference between the proposals is how the distribution study groups are formed and how many are performed a year
- September 2012 Revised Rule 21 settlement and tariffs approved (Phase I)
- February 2013 IOUs file draft group study tariffs (PG&E/SDG&E and SCE)
  - Primary difference still group formation, though certain other details vary as well.
- March 2013 Party comments and replies
- Q3 2013 Decision on DGS anticipated



## **Entering Group Study**

- **Pass Screen Q** Project is not electrically interdependent with the transmission system
- Fail Screen R Project is electrically interdependent with earlier-queued and yet-to-be-studied interconnection requests on the distribution system (§ G.3.b)
  - Electrically dependent—"If the location of the new project allows its electrical output to combine with the electrical output of the queued-ahead interconnection request" (PG&E slides)
  - Mandatory for such projects to enter group study
  - If fail Screen R today—go into transmission Cluster Study process (WDAT/WDT)
- Choose to enter Group Study e.g., single developer with multiple applications in the same area submitted at the same time (§ F.3.b)



#### Group Formation • PG&E/SDG&E—§ F.3.b.i–iii Fixed group study windows

- Two group study application windows per year
- Applicant must submit all application materials no later than 10 business days after the close of the window
- Utility performs Screen Q and R tests within 20 business days of close of window (*needs clarification*)
- At Scoping Meeting, utility advises applicant re expected start date for Phase I Interconnection Study (among other things)
- At utility's discretion, each window may have one or more groups; groups may have one or more applicants



# **Group Formation**

- SCE—§ F.3.b.ii Rolling group studies
  - First applicant that fails Screen R initiates group
    - That is, there is a project ahead of it being studied, with which it is electrically interdependent
  - Any subsequent applicants (if any) that fail Screen R, i.e., are electrically interdependent, will be added to the group
    - Could end up with a group of one—i.e., an independent study
  - Scoping Meetings for all applicants in a group must be held more than 30 days before the start of the Phase 1 Interconnection Study
    - Otherwise applicant will be included in next group
  - Study starts when either (1) earlier-queued applicant's/ group's study is complete or (2) utility determines upgrades triggered by earlier-queued request(s)
    - Once study starts, any subsequent applications that fail Screen R would form or join the next group



#### **Group Formation: Fixed Windows vs. Rolling Studies**

- Why? PG&E expects many more distribution-level group studies than SCE
- **Pros**: More up-front timing certainty, easier to manage for utility
- Cons: Less efficient, developers have to wait for next window (up to 6 months)

- Why? Most of SCE's interdependent applications end up in transmission Cluster Study
- **Pros**: More efficient, likely less wait time for developers
- **Cons**: Less up-front timing certainty, may be difficult to manage (at least at higher volumes)

**Con for both**—Two different processes may be confusing for applicants and difficult to manage longer term for regulators



### **Cost Allocation**

- Study costs "allocated equally" among generators in a group (§§ E.3.a.ii (PG&E/SDG&E), E.3.e (SCE))
- Upgrade costs—assigned to all requests in a group "pro rata" based on each request's need for the upgrade (§ E.4.e)
  - §§ G.3.c (PG&E/SDG&E), G.3.c.1 (SCE)—costs of short circuit-related upgrades assigned pro rata on the basis of the short duty contribution of each facility
  - § G.3.c (PG&E/SDG&E)—all other upgrades and shared interconnection facilities assigned pro rata based on maximum MW electrical output



#### Timelines

- Phase I Interconnection Study (PG&E/SDG&E) or System Impact Study (SCE) =
  - 60 business days (§ F.b.iv (PG&E/SDG&E))
  - 90 calendar days (§ F.b.ii (SCE))
- Phase II Interconnection Study (PG&E/SDG&E) or Facilities Study (SCE) =
  - 60 business days (§ F.b.viii (PG&E/SDG&E))
  - 90 calendar days (§ F.b.viii (SCE))
- Time to tender interconnection agreement = 30 calendar days (§ F.3.e.i)
- Timeframes same as independent study process
- Otherwise study process & requirements generally the same including process for notifying applicants in writing if utility determines it will exceed timelines



#### Timelines

- Automatic timing extension—if during a certain period the number of applications exceeds by 50% the number of requests in the preceding period, timelines automatically increase (§ F.3.b.xiii)
  - PG&E/SDG&E (6-month period):
    - Phase 1 and Phase 2 studies = 60 to 120 business days each
    - Tender IA = 30 to 45 calendar days
  - SCE (3-month period)—only if minimum of 10 active group studies:
    - Impact & Facilities studies = 90 to 135 calendar days each
    - Tender IA = 30 to 45 calendar days



### **Drop-outs and Restudy**

- If applicants withdraw, upgrades may have to be reevaluated/restudied (§ F.3.b.xi)
  - Upgrade costs shared among remaining applicants
  - Not clear how long from withdrawal to notice to other applicants, and from notice to restudy
  - Applicants have 10 business days to agree
    - SCE: If no response, deemed to have agreed
- Restudy completed and provided to the group (§ F.3.b.xii):
  - PG&E/SDG&E: Within 60 calendar days of withdrawal
  - SCE: Within 90 calendar days of withdrawal
- Financial security deposits intended to discourage drop-outs



# Why Group Study?

- 1. Avoid the transmission Cluster Study process for projects without transmission-level interdependencies *(California-specific)*
- 2. Share the costs of upgrades, which might otherwise be prohibitive (and costs of studies)
- 3. Time efficiencies for studying electrically interrelated applications as a group versus in a serial process
- 4. Better up-front certainty for utilities and developers regarding interconnection process, including specifically timelines